What determines the extent of baryoninduced dark matter core creation in dwarfs?

Claudia Muni w/ Andrew Pontzen, Justin I. Read, Oscar Agertz, Martin P. Rey, Ethan Taylor, Stacy Y. Kim,

Emily I. Gray

National Astronomy Meeting, Durham University - July 2025













Dark matter density profiles as probes of dark matter **The "cusp - core problem"**





Dark matter density profiles as probes of dark matter The "cusp - core problem"



BUT galaxies re-sculpt dark matter (within CDM!) e.g. Navarro et al. (1996), Read et al (2005), Pontzen et al. (2012)



Pontzen & Governato (2012)



2

EDGE simulations

 $M_{200}(z=0) = 7 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ $M_{\star}(z=0) = 1 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$

Gas density

~ 3pc, $m_{\rm DM} = 940~M_{\odot}$ AMR cosmological dwarfs

Ultra-faint to dwarf irregulars

Resolved supernovae

Radiative transfer & nonequilibrium cooling



EDGE and data





Do we see core formation in EDGE? - Yes!





6



6







Muni+2025



(cf Penarrubia, Pontzen, Walker 2013)

Gravitational potential fluctuations in EDGE Halo624 Halo605 Halo600 400 $\Delta \phi [\mathrm{km^2 s^{-2}}]$ 200 Mulhul Mullim 0 0 ¹ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ⁻² ⁰ ¹ ¹⁰⁻² ¹⁰⁻⁴ 10 11 12 13 12 13 3 7 10 11 12 13 2 2 8 9 2 3 5 3 8 9 5 7 Time [Gyr] Time [Gyr] Time [Gyr] Halo383 Halo339 400 -2] $\Delta \phi [\mathrm{km^2 s^-}$ Measured on Man Marthall manual 200 timescales <5 Myr 0 ¹ ¹ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ⁰ ¹ ¹⁰⁻² 0 the fill and the statistic descent of the Work in progress! 9 10 11 12 13 8 2 3 7 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 5 4 5 6 2 3 4 6 Time [Gyr]



Time [Gyr]







Gravitational potential fluctuations in EDGE Halo605 Halo600 under hand have been the second 10 11 12 13 7 10 11 12 13 8 9 2 5 3 8 9 6 5 7 Time [Gyr] Time [Gyr] Halo339 Measured on timescales <5 Myr the fill and the statistic descent of the Work in progress! 9 10 11 12 13 8 7 5 6 Time [Gyr]





Conclusions

Q: How are dark matter density profiles in dwarf galaxies affected by baryons?

- Analysed the dark matter density profiles in EDGE suite
- M_{\star} / M_{200} density at z=0 has a lot of scatter
- $M_{\star,\text{post}}$ / $M_{\star,\text{pre}}$ correlates very well with dark matter density
- Independent of some variations to sub-grid and formation history
- Gravitational potential fluctuations in EDGE caused by SNe feedback

claudia.muni@durham.ac.uk





Additional slides

Muni+2025 Pure CDM 2.0 624 $\rho_{\rm DM}(150 {\rm pc}) [10^8 M_\odot {\rm kpc^{-3}}]$ 1459 624 605 \bigcirc **6**00 • 1445 **6**05 1459 1445 **3**83 **0** 600 261 383 330 Data EDGE1 EDGE2 10^{-3} 10^{-4} M_{\star}/M_{200} **Energy injection**

(cf Penarrubia, Pontzen, Walker 2013)

changes to sub-grid





The importance of reionisation in dwarfs



More massive

 $M_{200}(z=0) = 7 \times 10^9 \, M_{\odot}$ $M_{\star}(z=0) = 1 \times 10^7 M_{\odot}$

Less massive

 $M_{200}(z=0) = 1 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$ $M_{\star}(z=0) = 6 \times 10^4 M_{\odot}$





Observations of real dwarfs



[Read+2019]

