The distortion of the Tucana IV by the recent close passage of the Large Magellanic Cloud

Aliaksandra Senkevich, Denis Erkal, Eugene Vasiliev University of Surrey email: a.senkevich@surrey.ac.uk

National Astronomy Meeting (NAM) 2025

Results of the Tucana IV N-body simulations with Gadget-3 time = -0.0 Gyr

- Tucana IV dwarf had a close passage (~4 kpc) with the LMC ~130 Myr ago [Simon et al. 2020].
- Tucana IV tidal radius ≈ its' half-light radius with respect to the LMC at their closest approach.
- The effect of the LMC on Tucana 4 is pronounced. Simulation results match the observations at the outer area (>2 degrees), but not in the inner observed region.

60

40

20

0 z (kps) -20

-40

-60

-80

-100 -



Results of the Tucana IV N-body simulations with Gadget-3 30

- Tucana IV dwarf had a close passage (~4 kpc) with the LMC ~130 Myr ago [Simon et al. 2020].
- Tucana IV tidal radius ≈ its' half-light radius with respect to the LMC at their closest approach.
- The effect of the LMC on **Tucana 4 is pronounced.** Simulation results match the observations at the outer area (>2 degrees), but not in the inner observed region.



the LMC (red line).

Results of the Tucana IV N-body simulations with Gadget-3 Gadget-3 Dark matter

- Tucana IV dwarf had a close passage (~4 kpc) with the LMC ~130 Myr ago [Simon et al. 2020].
- Tucana IV tidal radius ≈ its' half-light radius with respect to the LMC at their closest approach.
- The effect of the LMC on Tucana 4 is pronounced. Simulation results match the observations at the outer area (>2 degrees), but not in the inner observed region.



Ellipticity (a) function of radius

and position angle (b) as a



Restricted N-body method

- Uses the low-order multipole expansion of the effective particle potential to compute the forces. → reduce computational time.
- Trajectory at forward integration = trajectory at rewinding. → Final pos/vel exactly match the observed values.
 no need for the Gauss-Newton method.
 →reduce computational time



Restricted N-body method: results

Run multiple simulations samling over the uncertainties in Tucana IV's and the LMC's phase space coordinates and the LMC's mass.

DM reduced mass +cored profile +SMC

Modifications:

- Add the **SMC** to the model
- Initialise DM with the cored profile
- Reduce DM mass by two orders of magnitude: from 3.0×10^8 M☉ to 3.0×10^6 M⊙.



Summary:

1. The LMC could have a significant impact on the morphology of Tucana IV.

2. Some N-body simulations of Tucana IV have a position angle and ellipticity of the outskirts that closely match the observed values. At the same time, the inner region does not agree with the observations.

- realisations.

Poster location: F2PB2a

3. Adding the DM cored profile to the model increases the effect on Tucana IV.

4. In the model with SMC, cored dark matter profile and reduced mass, position angle and ellipticity agree with observations in the inner region for some









 $M_{\rm cNFW}(< r) = M_{\rm NFW}(< r) f^n$

$$f^n = \left[\tanh\left(\frac{r}{r_c}\right) \right]^n$$

sampling SMC mass within 2.15e9-6.5e9 solar masses. This corresponds to the assumed SMC's mass within 2 kpc to be $(0.8 - 2.4) \times$ 10e9 M⊙ (from <u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/2504.16163</u> (We demonstrate that the SMC's torques on the LMC's bar during the collision are sufficient to explain the observed bar tilt, provided the SMC's total mass within 2 kpc was (0.8–2.4)×109 M⊙.))

Schwarzschild method

The LMC gravitational potential is defined as the Hernquist potential with a mass of $1.38+-0.26\times10^{11}$ M \odot and a scale radius motivated by the LMC enclosed mass at 8.7 kpc [4].

The MW gravitational potential is represented with the MWP otential 2014 model [galpy].

The procedure is the following: we rewind Tucana IV orbit in the combined potential of the MW and the LMC for 4.47 Gyr (~3 pericentres), then we initialise Tucana IV dark matter and stars' density distributions with NFW halo and Plummer sphere correspondently, after that the N-body system is integrated forward in time.

The dark matter halo mass is set to $3.0 \times 108 \text{ M}\odot$ to match the observed velocity dispersion [1, 2]. Mass of the Plummer sphere is $3080 \text{ M} \odot [1, 2]$.

We add the SMC to our model, sampling its mass within $(2.15 - 6.5) \times 10^{9} \text{ M}_{\odot}$, which corresponds to the assumed SMC's mass within 2 kpc to be $(0.8 - 2.4) \times 10^{9} M \odot [9]$.









km/s/arcmin

Motivation: Tucana IV orbit rewinding results



• Tucana IV had a close passage (~3 kpc) with the LMC ~130 Myr ago [Simon et al. 2020].



Tucana IV: observations

- Half-light radius = 9.30 ± 1.15 (arcmin)
- Ellipticity = 0.39 ± 0.085 ullet
- Position angle = 27.0 ± 8.5 (degrees)
- Line-of-sight velocity dispersion = 4.3 ± 1.35 (km s–1)



(kpc) -20-60

Tucana IV stars distribution on the sky. Points are colored according to their membership probability. Two ellipses - one and three times the half-light radius. [Andrew B. Pace et al 2022]



In simulations we will measure ellipticity and position angle both within elliptical shells with **dR** width and ellipses with radiuses R (enclosed).







Tucana IV N-body simulations with Gadget-3 (N-body part)

Simulation stages

 1) Rewind Tucana IV as test particle in MW and LMC combined potential;
 2) Generate Tucana IV stars and dark matter density distributions;
 3) Integrate system forward till the present time.

Potentials: Tucana IV - Plummer (stars) and NFW (dark matter) profiles.

LMC - particle sourcing Hernquist potential.

MW - particle sourcing NFW, Miyamoto-Nagai and broken power-law bulge potential.

Final position and velocity mismatch

Problem: Tucana IV simulational and observed positions and velocities discrepancy ~ 5 kpc. Distance between Tucana IV and LMC at closest approach ~ 3 kpc - can be crucial.
Explanation: possible spatial asymmetry in dwarfs' particle loss → extra force on Tucana IV centre of mass.

Solution: iterative **Gauss-Newton** method (~Newton's method for vectors). But then we need to run 7*Number of iterations simulations - costly ...

After **7*4 = 28 simulations** finally got all positions and velocities right apart from RA and Dec.



	Observations	Simulation
RA (deg)	0.717	0.526
Dec (deg)	-60.83	-61.01
istance (kpc)	47.0 ± 4.0	46.6
m ra (mas/yr)	0.534 ± 0.052	0.523
n dec (mas/yr)	-1.707 ± 0.055	-1.728
al velocity (km/s)	15.9 ± 1.75	14.79



$$dr_{
m start}^{
m model} = [r_{
m start_1}^{
m model} - r_{
m start_0}^{
m model}, \dots, r_{
m start_6}^{
m model} - r_{
m start_0}^{
m model}$$

 $dr_{
m fin}^{
m model} = [r_{
m fin_1}^{
m model} - r_{
m fin_0}^{
m model}, \dots, r_{
m fin_6}^{
m model} - r_{
m fin_0}^{
m model}$
 $crix \ J pprox dr_{
m fin}^{
m model} imes dr_{
m start}^{
m model-1}$. The initial position

$$r_{\text{start}_0}^{\text{model}}$$
new = $r_{\text{start}_0}^{\text{model}} - J^{-1} \times (r_{\text{fin}_0}^{\text{model}} - r_{\text{fin}}^{\text{true}})$

[] []

^{;1}]

for



ewinding