

# A lobster eye telescope for the Jovian system

N. A. Carr<sup>1</sup>, C. H. Feldman<sup>1</sup>, S. T. Lindsay<sup>1</sup>, A. Martindale<sup>1</sup>, S. Wharton<sup>1</sup>, J. Nichols<sup>1</sup>, W. Dunn<sup>2</sup>, B. Parry<sup>2</sup> 1) School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester 2) MSSL, University College London



### 



## X-rays in the Jovian System



#### EQUATORIAL EMISSION

Solar protons Thompson and k-shell scattering (Branduardi-Raymont, 2007)





#### RADIATION BELTS AND IO PLASMA TORUS

Inverse-Compton, ultra relativistic electrons Charge exchange, collisions and solar protons (Ezoe, 2010)



#### X-RAY AURORAE

Bremsstrahlung hard and soft X-rays (Branduardi-Raymont, 2007) (Nichols, 2016)

#### GALILEAN MOONS

Electron and particle induced X-ray emission (EIXE and PIXE) (Elsner, 2002)

# **Novel X-ray Optics**

### **Lobster Eye Optics**

- Inspired by the eyes of crustaceans
- Tiny pores which focus low flux light effectively
- Pores arranged over a sphere large FOV









1 mm

# **Novel X-ray Optics**

### **Micropore Optics**

- Grazing incidence optics
- An array of square pores
- X-rays reflect once or twice off the pore walls
- X-rays focus to a central point and vertical and horizontal cross-arms







# Telescope Design

#### Heritage in X-ray telescopes



Left to right: BepiColombo Mercury Imaging X-ray Spectrometer (Bunce, 2020), SVOM Microchannel X-ray Telescope (Mercier, 2018), SMILE Soft X-ray Imager (Sembay, 2023), Einstein Probe Wide-field X-ray Telescope (Yuan, 2018), THESEUS (O'Brien, 2020).

### **Recontextualising for a Jovian mission**

Specifications – Spatial resolution, radius of curvature, number of MPOs, focal length, detector etc. Produce instrument specifications by considering:

- Size of Jupiter, the system, moons, auroral features etc.
- Current MPO resolutions and the potential of future improvements
- Orbital parameters of the COMPASS concept mission (Clark, 2025. Under review)

ements x, 2025. Under review)

# X-ray Telescope Specifications

#### **COMPASS** Orbits

- Furthest apojove = 60 RJ
- Closest perijove = 1RJ

| Orbital distance<br>from Jupiter (RJ) | Size of Jupiter<br>(degrees) | Size of radiation belts (degrees) |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 60                                    | 1                            | 6.3                               |
| 5                                     | 11                           | 53                                |

**Current MPOs** 

• Resolution = 11' (0.16. deg)

Spatial resolution of the MPO affects the ability to observe

features - e.g. auroral arc

| <b>MPO resolution</b> |         | <b>Resolution at 5RJ</b> |        |  |
|-----------------------|---------|--------------------------|--------|--|
| arcmin                | degrees | km                       | RJ     |  |
| 3                     | 0.5     | 311.9                    | 0.0044 |  |
| 5                     | 0.083   | 517.8                    | 0.0072 |  |
| 8                     | 0.13    | 811.1                    | 0.0113 |  |
| 11                    | 0.16    | 998.2                    | 0.0149 |  |



# Telescope Optics Design

- 6x6 array of 40mm x 40mm MPOs 4mm gap between each aperture (38mm x 38mm)
- 650mm radius of curvature
- 19 degree field of view
- Detector TBD

#### These specifications can be used in Q to simulate the PSF of the instrument



|         |                    | -                  |                    |                    |                    |     |
|---------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----|
| 8<br>60 | 2<br>648<br>2.4 60 | 3<br>648<br>2.4 60 | 4<br>648<br>2.4 60 | 5<br>648<br>2.4 60 | 6<br>648<br>2.4 60 |     |
| ,       | 8                  | 9                  | 10                 | 11                 | 12                 |     |
| 8       | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                |     |
| 60      | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             |     |
| 3       | 14                 | 15                 | 16                 | 17                 | 18                 |     |
| 8       | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                |     |
| 60      | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             |     |
| 9       | 20                 | 21                 | 22                 | 23                 | 24                 |     |
| 8       | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                |     |
| 60      | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             |     |
| 5       | 26                 | 27                 | 28                 | 29                 | 30                 |     |
| 8       | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                |     |
| 60      | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             |     |
| 1       | 32                 | 33                 | 34                 | 35                 | 36                 |     |
| 8       | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                | 648                |     |
| 60      | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             | 2.4 60             |     |
|         |                    |                    |                    |                    |                    |     |
| 100     | -50                | .(                 | 0                  | 50                 | 100                | 150 |

## Modelling the Jovian System



- Line of sight integrated images through an emissivity model – similar FOV as telescope concept
- Based on Wharton et. al., 2025 a & b empirical X-ray models for SMILE have been developed to simulate X-ray images at Jupiter

a - Jupiter and radiation belts from a distance
b - Close-up view of the northern aurora
c - Side-on view of lo with Jupiter in the
background
d - Nightside view of Europa with Jupiter in the

#### background

(Images by S. Wharton (University of Leicester) for use in paper in progress by N. Carr (University of Leicester))



# Modelling MPOs

### Q - X-ray optics modelling programme

For this purpose:

- Monoenergetic 1.49 keV X-rays Vertical Test Facility
- One million X-ray photons
- Produces a clear and useful image of X-rays being focussed from infinity onto a detector surface

### Convolution onto Jovian X-ray image

- PSF produced by Q used as a convolution matrix
- Simple convolution of PSF matrix over the image produced by Wharton of the X-rays from Jupiter
- Starts to build an idea of the possible science with current MPO technology



b) Comparison of X-ray image from Wharton model vs. X-ray image after being focussed through 6x6 MPO array



### Summary and Future Work

- Novel X-ray optics have opened a new pathway of in situ X-ray observations
- Current MPOs provide a suitable spatial resolution to resolve finer details in aurorae etc.
- Plethora of high energy planetary science to be studied
- Further development of Jupiter and optics X-ray models quantify results
- Produce PSFs with VTF and TTF to compare with model results
- Working alongside industry partners to further improve the optics performance

N. A. Carr – Space Projects and Instrumentation, University of Leicester nac28@leicester.ac.uk

UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER

