

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Jet powering mechanism in radio quasars from the LOFAR Twometre Sky Survey

Bohan Yue (University of Edinburgh & Leiden Observatory)

Email: <u>bohan.yue@ed.ac.uk</u> Supervisors: Prof. Philip Best, Dr. Ken Duncan, Prof. Huub Röttgering 07/07/25, NAM 2025





Universiteit Leiden The Netherlands



- Radio quasar as we know it:
 - separated into RL/RQ (based on <u>radio</u> <u>detection</u>)
 - linked with jet presence



...But is this the real case?





- Next-gen radio surveys probes well into the '<u>radio-quiet</u>' regime:
 - → <u>Jets in RQ AGNs</u>: VLBI observation challenges RL/RQ dichotomy



Credit: Panessa+19

- Next-gen radio surveys probes well into the '<u>radio-quiet</u>' regime:
 - → <u>Jets in RQ AGNs</u>: VLBI observation challenges RL/RQ dichotomy
 - What powers the radio emission in RQ AGNs?
 - How to distinguish between the <u>host</u> galaxy star formation (SF) and weak AGN activities (jet/outflow)?

What causes the powering efficiency of jets to vary across a wide range?



Credit: Panessa+19

- Next-gen radio surveys probes well into the '<u>radio-quiet</u>' regime:
 - → <u>Jets in RQ AGNs</u>: VLBI observation
 - What p AGNs?
 - How to distinguish between the host galaxy star formation (SF) and weak AGN activities (jet/outflow)?

What causes the powering efficiency of jets to vary across a wide range?



Credit: Panessa+19









ensure similar accretion rate/ redshift for input quasar





ensure similar accretion rate/ redshift for input quasar





ensure similar accretion rate/ redshift for input quasar



Link to jet power: BH mass Is 'radio-loudness' a good definition?

- NO radio power evolves with bolometric luminosity and <u>redshift</u>!
- The two-component model enables classification by **physical processes** on <u>survey datasets</u>

Radio loudness defined with a single threshold rather than actual physical process 1.0 -0.8 Cumulative distribution .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 f_{5GHz}/f_{4400Å} $\log L_{1.4 \text{GHz}}/L_i$ 0.2 +++ <10: "Radio quiet" <1: "Radio quiet" ➡ ► >10: "Radio loud" >1: "Radio loud" ŨĪŪ 8.5 9.0 *M_{BH}* (leg₁₀ (*M*.// 8.5 9.0 10.0 8.0 10.0 8.0 7.5 M_{BH} (log₁₀ (M_{\circ}/M_{\odot}))

Link to jet power: BH mass Is 'radio-loudness' a good definition?

- NO radio power evolves with bolometric luminosity and <u>redshift</u>!
- The two-component model enables classification by physical processes on survey datasets Available with less depth / resolution power! Radio loudness defined with a single threshold rather than actual physical process 1.0 -0.8 Cumulative distribution .0 .0 .0 .0 $\log L_{1.4GHz}/L_i$ f_{5GHz}/f_{4400Å} 0.2 <10: "Radio quiet" <1: "Radio quiet" ➡ ► >10: "Radio loud" >1: "Radio loud" Ū.Ū 8.5 8.5 10.0 8.0 10.0 7.5 0.8 9.0 9.0 M_{BH} ($\log_{10} (M_{\circ}/M)$ M_{BH} (log₁₀ (M_{\circ}/M_{\odot}))



Link to jet power: BH mass Is 'radio-loudness' a good definition?

- NO radio power evolves with **bolometric luminosity** and **redshift**!
- survey datasets





Link to jet power: BH mass **Direct evidence from model (Yue+25)**





Link to jet power: BH mass Possible mechanism: accretion mode differences?



Link Possil



Link to jet power: large-scale environment (Re)-defining radio quasar population



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Clustering signal from two-point correlation function (TPCF)



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Clustering signal from two-point correlation function (TPCF)

Link to jet power: large-scale environment Halo mass vs. BH mass

 Are jet-dominated quasars more clustered because of massive haloes or massive BHs?

> BH mass / accretion mode alone is not enough to explain the overdensity in jet-dominated quasars

Link to jet power: large-scale environment Halo mass vs. BH mass

 Are jet-dominated quasars more clustered because of massive haloes or massive BHs?

> BH mass / accretion mode alone is not enough to explain the overdensity in jet-dominated quasars

Halo mass > BH mass in determining jet power

More direct powering mechanism?

Towards a census of jet powering mechanism Possible scenarios

Rich gas reservoir/ dense environment

Direct powering of jet

Massive halo/ higher clustering

Towards a census of jet powering mechanism **Possible scenarios**

Rich gas reservoir/ dense environment

Direct powering of jet

Massive halo/ higher clustering

analysis on merger - jet connection! (w. A. La Marca & L. Wang)

lake-home messages ...and thanks for listening

- galaxies and jet component from AGNs in faint quasar radio emission
- both SF and AGN component for most of the sources
- disc-mode accretion, higher BH spins (?)
- power
- QSOs!

Two-component model can disentangle <u>star-forming component</u> from host

<u>Radio loudness cannot trace the physical origin of quasar radio emission</u>

No significant connection between quasar radio emission and BH mass in

• However <u>quasars hosting the most massive (top 20%) SMBHs are 2~3 times</u> more likely to host powerful jets (be AGN dominated); possibly linked with

Halo mass is more important than BH mass in determining AGN jet

Please chat with me if you are interested about the application in red/blue

