

THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Role of environment in the jet powering mechanism in radio quasars - insights from LoTSS

Bohan Yue (University of Edinburgh & Leiden Observatory)

Email: bohan.yue@ed.ac.uk Supervisors: Prof. Philip Best, Dr. Ken Duncan, Prof. Huub Röttgering 10/07/25, NAM 2025





Universiteit Leiden The Netherlands





Link to jet power: large-scale environment Introduction

- Environment might have more direct influence on the jet formation/powering
 - [individual] Nearby studies show dense environment can foster jet production (e.g. Cygnus A; Barthel, Arnaud+96)



Credit: Ogle+25

Link to jet power: large-s Introduction

- Environment might have more direct influence on the jet formation/powering
 - Nearby studies show dense environment can foster jet production (e.g. Cygnus A; Barthel, Arnaud+95)
 - ► [cluster] Further supported by higher Xray incidence (rich hot gas) + favour rich cluster environment







Link to jet power: large-scale environment Introduction

- Environment might have more direct influence on the jet formation/poweriFIRST-detected quasars
 - ► [halo] RL QSOs seem to be more clustered than RQ QSOs (Mandelbaum+08, Shen+09, Retana-Montenegro+17) -> *more massive haloes (BHs?)*





Left: Mandelbaum+08; Upper: Retana-Montenegro+17

Link to jet power: large-scale environment Radio quasars in the era of deep radio surveys

- Next-gen radio surveys probes well into the 'radio-quiet' regime:
 - bm⁻¹ rms depth / mJy .4GHz Effective



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Radio quasars in the era of deep radio surveys

- Next-gen radio surveys probes well into the 'radio-quiet' regime:
 - → <u>Jets in RQ AGNs</u>: VLBI observation challenges RL/RQ dichotomy
 - How to distinguish between the host galaxy star formation (SF) and weak AGN activities (jet/ outflow)?

What causes the powering efficiency of jets to vary across a wide range?



Credit: Panessa+19

Two-component Bayesian model Separating SF and AGN in radio flux density distribution

Well-defined random + weight for optical selection





Before we start... Is 'radio-loudness' a good definition?

- Traditionally use <u>single value</u> <u>thresholds</u> (e.g. $R = f_{5GHz}/f_{4400\text{\AA}}$)
- The two-component model enables classification by **physical processes** on \mathfrak{S}^{10^3} survey datasets *Available with less depth / resolution power!*



Before we start... Is 'radio-loudness' a good definition?

• Traditionally use <u>single value</u>

survey datasets



The 'radio loudness' problem **Current caveats**

- era!
- Naïve assumptions are made:
 - Assuming radio power has no evolution with optical luminosity/redshift
 - Assuming same radio spectral slope
 - Assuming single-band photometry = bolometric luminosity

• This affects (almost) all works related to radio AGN population, especially in the SKA



The 'radio loudness' problem Ways to improve - some thoughts for discussion!

• **Bottom line**: calibrate for RL thresholds across different radio/optical/X-ray frequencies (e.g. 5GHz vs. 1.4GHz/144MHz, B-band vs. i-band vs. L_{3000Å} photometry, luminosity vs. flux)

Are we tracing the same population?

The 'radio loudness' problem Ways to improve - some thoughts for discussion!

- **Bottom line**: calibrate for RL thresholds across different radio/optical/X-ray frequencies (e.g. 5GHz vs. 1.4GHz/144MHz, B-band vs. i-band vs. L_{3000Å} photometry, luminosity vs. flux)
- intermediate, high-power radio loud)

• Better ways: test for different RL thresholds; include additional populations (e.g. radio

What exact population are we tracing?



The 'radio loudness' problem Ways to improve - some thoughts for discussion!

- **Bottom line**: calibrate for RL thresholds across different radio/optical/X-ray frequencies (e.g. 5GHz vs. 1.4GHz/144MHz, B-band vs. i-band vs. L_{3000Å} photometry, luminosity vs. flux)
- intermediate, high-power radio loud)
- flux density + non-radio-selected sample
 - Or refer to the table values in Yue+24... (if SDSS quasars)
 - Or use <u>brightness temperature</u> approach (if high-res radio image available; Morabito+22,25)

• Better ways: test for different RL thresholds; include additional populations (e.g. radio

• Best way: <u>apply the model</u> -> only things needed are redshift + luminosity + radio

What is actually going on in these populations?



Link to jet power: large-scale environment (Re)-defining radio quasar population



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Clustering signal from two-point correlation function (TPCF)



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Halo mass vs. BH mass

 Are jet-dominated quasars more clustered because of massive haloes or <u>massive BHs</u> residing in massive haloes?

Most massive BHs have a higher jet incidence, possibly due to domination of kinetic accretion mode (e.g. Yue+25)



Link to jet power: large-scale environment Halo mass vs. BH mass

 Are jet-dominated quasars more 6 clustered because of massive haloes or massive BHs residing in massive haloes? 5

> BH mass / accretion mode alone is not enough to explain the overdensity in jet-dominated quasars

Halo mass > BH mass in determining jet power

More direct powering mechanism?



Towards a census of jet powering mechanism **Possible scenarios**



Rich gas reservoir/ dense environment

Direct powering of jet

Massive halo/ higher clustering

analysis on merger - jet connection! (w. A. La Marca & L. Wang)

Take-home messages ...and thanks for listening

- radio emission
- emission; extra attention is needed in deep radio surveys!
- Halo mass is strongly tied to the jet fraction in quasar radio In
- Large scale environment is more important than BH mass/ accretion mode in determining AGN jet power



For my personal website ->

Email: bohan.yue@ed.ac.uk



Two-component model can disentangle <u>star-forming component</u> from host galaxies and jet component from AGNs in faint quasar

<u>Radio loudness cannot trace the physical origin of quasar radio</u>

emission - more powerful the jet, more massive haloes they reside